

## INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, LUCKNOW

**Minutes of the Second Meeting of the Board of Governors of IIIT, Lucknow held on 16.05.2023 from 11:30 A.M. onwards in Video Conferencing Mode under the Chairmanship of Director, IIIT Lucknow.**

The following members were present in the meeting:

1. **Dr. Arun Mohan Sherry** - **Chairperson**  
Director, IIIT Lucknow
2. **Smt. Saumya Gupta, IAS** - **Member**  
Joint Secretary, Deptt. of Higher Education, MoE
3. **Shri. Annavi Dinesh Kumar**, Special Secretary, - **Member**  
Dept. of Technical Education, U.P. Govt.
4. **Shri Akshay Tripathi** - **Member**  
Managing Director, Uttar Pradesh Electronics Corporation, Ltd.  
-(Industry Partner)
5. **Dr. Amarendra Sahoo** - **Member**  
Former Chairman,  
Atom Technologies India Limited, Mumbai
6. **Dr. Rajul Ranjan Choudhury** - **Member**  
Scientific Officer (G),  
Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Trombay Mumbai
7. **Prof U C Gupta** - **Member**  
Prof. & Former Head, Department of Mathematics, IIT Kharagpur
8. **Mrs. Rachna Mathur** - **Member**  
Former Member of Mahila Vidhyalaya  
Degree College Lucknow Management Committee.
9. **Prof. R.K Sharma** - **Member**  
Consensys Blockchain Chair Professor, IIT Delhi
10. **Dr. Dhananjay Dey** - **Member**  
Assoc. Professor, IIIT Lucknow
11. **Shri. Abhishek Kumar Verma** - **Non-Member**  
Assistant Registrar, IIIT Lucknow **Secy. (Off.)**

The other members who were not present due to their pre-occupation and were therefore granted leave of absence.

The meeting was chaired by Dr. Arun Mohan Sherry, Director IIIT Lucknow. At the outset of the meeting, the Chairperson welcomed the participants and thanked them for taking

their time out to attend the meeting. Thereafter, with the permission of the Chairperson, the agenda items and annexure were presented before the members of BoG.

<b>Item No. 1.</b>	<b>Director's Report</b>
	<p>The Director apprised the members about: -</p> <p>Academic Programmes, Student Strength, Student Admission Data for Undergraduate, Post Graduate &amp; Ph.D. Programmes, Construction Status, Details of Projects at IIIT Lucknow, Setup of Incubation Centre at IIIT Lucknow, Student's placement status of IIIT Lucknow, MoUs with organizations, Implementation of NEP 2020, Faculty Achievements, First Convocation of IIIT Lucknow and Challenges &amp; Issues.</p> <p>It was apprised to the members that around 962 students are enrolled in various academic programs starting from UG level to Ph.D.</p> <p>In the Director's report, the members were delighted to note that the students having 99 and above percentile in JEE have taken admission in the Institute. The Director also apprised that the provisions of NEP are being gradually implemented in all the programmes offered by the Institute.</p> <p>Members particularly praised the Institute for providing 100% placement to the B. Tech students and noted the yearly increase in average and the highest placement packages.</p> <p>Further, the members of the BoG were also apprised of various challenges and issues: It was apprised by the Director that there is only one Hostel in the campus and there would be a requirement of accommodation for around 1000 students in view of increased intake of students in various programmes. The construction of new hostel building is under consideration.</p> <p>Overall, the members were very pleased by the Institute's performance at this stage.</p>
<b>Item No. 2.1</b>	<b>To confirm the minutes of the First Meeting of the Board of Governors held on November 16, 2021</b>
	The BoG confirmed the minutes of the First meeting of the Board of Governors.
<b>Item No.2.2</b>	<b>To report the Actions Taken on the minutes of the First Meeting of the Board of Governors held on November 16, 2021</b>
	<p>The Action Taken report was placed before the members. The BoG took note of the same with following observations: -</p> <p><b>ATR 11-</b> In respect of Resolution No. 1.5.4 of the First Meeting of BoG dt. 16.11.2021 wherein, it was resolved by the BoG that the Institute should speed up the process of construction of new Hostels, the drawing and estimates as received from UPRNN for the construction of a 474 bedded hostel at a tentative cost of Rs.40 Crore were placed before the BoG. It was apprised that the hostel is the priority of the Institute and the Institute may be permitted to initiate the process of construction of a boys' hostel. The availability of funds with the Institute for the purpose has also been communicated.</p> <p><b>ATR-13</b> The matter regarding the transfer of land from the Technical Education Department, Uttar Pradesh to IIIT Lucknow was also discussed in the meeting. The Special Secretary, Dept. of Technical Education U.P, present in the meeting, was requested to</p>

	accord priority to the matter. The Joint Secretary, MoE, Government of India, advised that the matter may also be referred to the MoE.							
<b>Item No.3</b>	<b>To report the appointment of Heads of Departments (HoDs) and Deputy Registrar</b>							
	The BoG took note of the same.							
<b>Item No. 4.1</b>	<b>To apprise the BoG of the nomination of Shri. Sumit Gakher, Deputy Secretary (IITs &amp; IIITs), Department of Higher Education, Ministry of Education, as a Member (Central Govt. Nominee) in the Building &amp; Works Committee of IIIT Lucknow in terms of Section 23 (1)(2) of the Statutes of IIIT Lucknow</b>							
	The BoG took note of the same. It was conveyed by Joint Secretary, MoE, Government of India, that currently, Sh. Priyank Chaturvedi is working as Deputy Secretary (IIITs). Hence, his name may be placed as Member (Central Govt. Nominee) in the Building and Works Committee of IIIT Lucknow now.							
<b>Item No. 4.2</b>	<b>To apprise the BoG of the construction progress report submitted by UPRNN</b>							
	<p>The construction report submitted by Project monitoring Agency i.e. M/s UPRNN was placed before the BoG. It was apprised that 100% of the First Phase of work has been communicated by PMA as completed. However, the work pertaining to Rain Water Harvesting is not yet started. Further, the construction of boys hostel and other construction works viz. Director, Faculty &amp; Staff Residence were also discussed. It was also apprised to the members that a preliminary estimate of Rs.4006.40 lakh for 474 bedded hostel has been received from UPRNN (PMC for First Phase) and also informed that the proposed boys hostel was not a part of the First Phase of construction (approved cost of Rs.128 Cr.).</p> <p>Further, the members were apprised that in the 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Finance Committee dated 16.05.2023, the FC recommends the allocation of IRG (Internal Resource generated) of the Institute fund for the construction of 474 bedded boys hostel within the estimated budget of Rs. 4006.40 Lakh.</p> <p>The members of BoG advised to come up with the recommendation of the Building &amp; Works Committee of the Institute in this regard.</p>							
<b>Item No. 5.1</b>	<b>To consider and approve the clarifications in the existing Faculty Recruitment Rules of the Institute</b>							
	<p>The BoG was apprised about the approval of four tier flexible Faculty structure circulated by MoE vide letter No. F.No. 27-11/2011-Ts.I dt. 23.04.2014 in the 9<sup>th</sup> meeting of Governing Body held on 13.09.2019 as Faculty Recruitment Rules of the Institute. The Ministry of Education has requested the Educational Institutes to obtain the clarifications/interpretations, approved by their respective boards, if required. The clarifications/Interpretation in the "Faculty Recruitment Rules" of IIIT Lucknow presented before the BoG were approved as placed below :-</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="336 1738 1445 1921"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No.</th> <th>To be applied at page number, point number/ line number etc.</th> <th>Clarification/Interpretation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		S.No.	To be applied at page number, point number/ line number etc.	Clarification/Interpretation			
S.No.	To be applied at page number, point number/ line number etc.	Clarification/Interpretation						

	1	At all places (wherever applicable) in the concerned faculty recruitment rules.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "SCI Journals" may be read as "SCI/SCIE/ABDC/SSCI Journals" for Management and Humanities</li> <li>2. "sponsored project/project/consultancy project" may be read as "sponsored project (as per the research and consultancy policy of IIIT Lucknow)."</li> <li>3. "sole or principal supervisor" may be read as supervisor/co-supervisor/joint-supervisor"</li> <li>4. On-Going project may be read as On Going/Completed Project.</li> </ol>
<b>Item No. 5.2</b>	<b>To consider and approve the clarifications in the existing CPDA Norms of the Institute.</b>		
	<p>The members were apprised about the approval of CPDA norms of IIIT Lucknow in the 9<sup>th</sup> G.B meeting. However, in lack of clarity, the benefits could not be extended to all the faculty members. It was apprised that the policy document stipulates the CPDA Norms admissibility for the regular faculty and because of this, the facility could not be made available to the faculty members appointed in Pay Level 10/11. It was apprised to the members that the entry level grade in IIITs are Level 10/11 which as per Recruitment Rules are contractual. Further, as per Offer Letters provided to the faculty members, facilities as admissible to Regular Faculty Members are also extended to the faculty members appointed in Academic Pay Level 10/11.</p> <p>JS, MOE suggested that to provide more clarity, it may be written as :-  <i>"CPDA is extended to faculty in Pay Level 10/11 appointed as per Recruitment Rules of the Institute"</i>.</p> <p>The BoG approved the same.</p>		
<b>Item No. 6.1</b>	<b>To consider and approve the recommendations of the Finance Committee regarding the formulation of Investment Policy of the Institute</b>		
	The BoG considered and approved the same.		
<b>Item No. 6.2</b>	<b>To ratify the recommendations of the Finance Committee regarding the fee structure of the offered programmes.</b>		
	The BoG ratified the same.		
<b>Item No. 6.3</b>	<b>To ratify the recommendations of the Finance Committee regarding the fee for the duplicate certificates, transcripts, verification of credentials of students and Back Paper Fee, etc.</b>		
	The BoG ratified the same.		
<b>Item No. 6.4</b>	<b>To ratify the recommendations of the Finance Committee regarding the remuneration of External Expert called for M.Tech. Thesis Viva</b>		
	The BoG ratified the same.		
<b>Item No. 6.5</b>	<b>To ratify the Annual Accounts for the Financial Year 2019-20 and 2020-21</b>		
	The BoG ratified the same.		

<b>Item No. 6.6</b>	<b>To ratify the Annual Accounts for the Financial Year 2021-22</b>
	The BoG ratified the same.
<b>Item No. 6.7</b>	<b>To report the registration of the Institute for the Income Tax Exemption under Section vi of 10 (23C) of the Income Tax Act</b>
	The BoG noted the same.
<b>Item No. 7.1</b>	<b>To report the minutes of the 8th and 9th meeting of the Senate</b>
	The BoG noted the same.
<b>Item No. 7.2</b>	<b>To accord Post facto approval to the recommendations of the Senate for awarding degrees to the students of M.Tech in Computer Science, Post Graduate Diploma in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, and Post Graduate Diploma in Data Science who passed out in the year 2021.</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 7.3</b>	<b>To accord post facto approval to the recommendations of the Senate for the award of Institute Medals (on merit basis) to the M. Tech. (CS) students who passed out in the year 2021</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 7.4</b>	<b>To consider and approve the recommendations of the Senate for awarding degrees to the B.Tech (IT) students who passed out in the year 2022</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 7.5</b>	<b>To consider and approve the recommendations of the Senate for the award of Institute Medals (on merit basis) to the B. Tech. (IT) students passed out in the year 2022</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 7.6</b>	<b>To consider and approve the recommendations of the Senate for nomination of a member to the BoG of the Institute u/s 14(2)(g) of IIIT (PPP) Act by the BoG</b>
	It was apprised that the name of Dr. Niraj Kumar Vishvakarma has been recommended by the Senate. The BoG approved the nomination u/s 14(2)(g) of IIIT (PPP) Act by the BoG
<b>Item No. 7.7</b>	<b>To consider the nomination of a member to the Academic Senate of the Institute u/s 18(1)(e) of IIIT PPP Act by the BoG</b>
	It was apprised to the BoG that Prof. N.K. Sharma who was nominated to the Academic Senate of the Institute u/s 18(1)(e) of IIIT (PPP) Act 2017 by the BoG has been appointed as Adjunct Faculty of the Institute. In view of the appointment one post in the Academic Senate of the Institute u/s 18 (1)(e) has been vacant. The profiles of Dr. Pankaj Vajpayee and Prof. Sanjeev Prashar were presented before the BoG. After due deliberations, the BoG nominated Prof. Sanjeev Prashar as a member to the Academic Senate of the Institute u/s 18(1)(e) of IIIT PPP Act.
<b>Item No. 7.8</b>	<b>To consider the recommendations of the Senate for upgradation of the P.G. Diploma programmes to M.Sc. Programmes proposed to commence from Academic Session 2023-24</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 7.9</b>	<b>To consider and approve the modification and updates in MBA programme.</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.

<b>Item No. 8.1</b>	<b>To consider and approve the recommendations of the Selection Committee for various faculty positions</b>																																																																						
	<p>The members were apprised that the recruitment for the faculty positions in Mathematics Department and Computer Science/Information Technology Department were held in May and June 2022 respectively. It was apprised that no candidates were found on the positions of Professor/Associate Professor and Assistant Professor (Grade-I) and as per the provisions of the flexibility, the positions from the above levels were moved in the Academic Pay Level 10/11 and suitable candidates were appointed at these levels. It was apprised that in the Rolling advertisement it was also mentioned that the vacancies advertised are indicative and subject to variation at the time of Interview/recruitment. The roster document was also placed before the BoG for kind perusal.</p> <p>The names and the profile of the selected candidates were discussed in the meeting. The details are placed as under: -</p> <p><b>a) Assistant Professor (Mathematics)</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="363 772 1469 1021"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Name of the Candidate</th> <th>Category</th> <th>Pay Level (10/11/12)</th> <th>Cell</th> <th>Remarks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Dr. Madhurima Dutta</td> <td>UR</td> <td>10</td> <td>08</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Dr. Mary Samuel</td> <td>UR</td> <td>10</td> <td>11</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Dr. Suman Das</td> <td>UR</td> <td>10</td> <td>08</td> <td>Waiting 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Dr. Sirsendu Sekhar Barman</td> <td>SC</td> <td>10</td> <td>08</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>b) Assistant Professor (CS/IT)</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="363 1126 1469 1637"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sl. No.</th> <th>Name of the Candidate</th> <th>Category</th> <th>Pay Level (10/11/12)</th> <th>Remarks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Dr. Mainak Adhikari</td> <td>UR</td> <td>11</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Dr. Saurabh Shukla</td> <td>UR</td> <td>11</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Dr. Shubhra Jain</td> <td>UR</td> <td>11</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Dr. Avani Vyas</td> <td>UR</td> <td>10</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Dr. Gargi Srivastava</td> <td>UR</td> <td>10</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6.</td> <td>Dr. Sushil Kumar Tiwari</td> <td>UR</td> <td>10</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7.</td> <td>Dr. Kumud Tripathi</td> <td>UR</td> <td>10</td> <td>Selected</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The BoG considered the recommendations of the selection committee and approved the same.</p>	Sl. No.	Name of the Candidate	Category	Pay Level (10/11/12)	Cell	Remarks	1	Dr. Madhurima Dutta	UR	10	08	Selected	2	Dr. Mary Samuel	UR	10	11	Selected	3	Dr. Suman Das	UR	10	08	Waiting 1	4	Dr. Sirsendu Sekhar Barman	SC	10	08	Selected	Sl. No.	Name of the Candidate	Category	Pay Level (10/11/12)	Remarks	1.	Dr. Mainak Adhikari	UR	11	Selected	2.	Dr. Saurabh Shukla	UR	11	Selected	3.	Dr. Shubhra Jain	UR	11	Selected	4.	Dr. Avani Vyas	UR	10	Selected	5.	Dr. Gargi Srivastava	UR	10	Selected	6.	Dr. Sushil Kumar Tiwari	UR	10	Selected	7.	Dr. Kumud Tripathi	UR	10	Selected
Sl. No.	Name of the Candidate	Category	Pay Level (10/11/12)	Cell	Remarks																																																																		
1	Dr. Madhurima Dutta	UR	10	08	Selected																																																																		
2	Dr. Mary Samuel	UR	10	11	Selected																																																																		
3	Dr. Suman Das	UR	10	08	Waiting 1																																																																		
4	Dr. Sirsendu Sekhar Barman	SC	10	08	Selected																																																																		
Sl. No.	Name of the Candidate	Category	Pay Level (10/11/12)	Remarks																																																																			
1.	Dr. Mainak Adhikari	UR	11	Selected																																																																			
2.	Dr. Saurabh Shukla	UR	11	Selected																																																																			
3.	Dr. Shubhra Jain	UR	11	Selected																																																																			
4.	Dr. Avani Vyas	UR	10	Selected																																																																			
5.	Dr. Gargi Srivastava	UR	10	Selected																																																																			
6.	Dr. Sushil Kumar Tiwari	UR	10	Selected																																																																			
7.	Dr. Kumud Tripathi	UR	10	Selected																																																																			
<b>Item No. 8.2</b>	<b>To consider and approve the recommendations of the Selection Committee for the recruitment of Deputy Registrars &amp; Registrar</b>																																																																						
	<p>The BoG was apprised that no candidate was found suitable for the post of Registrar and the outcome was NFS (None Found Suitable). It was apprised that since the Registrar is a statutory post. The same may be re-advertised.</p> <p>In respect of the recruitment of Deputy Registrar, the board deferred this agenda to the next BoG meeting.</p>																																																																						

<b>Item No. 8.3</b>	<b>To report the joining of faculty members in various departments of the Institute</b>
	The BoG took note of the same.
<b>Item No. 8.4</b>	<b>To report the (part-time contractual) appointment of the Institute Medical Officer</b>
	The BoG took note of the same.
<b>Item No. 8.5</b>	<b>To report the appointment of Adjunct Faculty</b>
	The BoG took note of the same.
<b>Item No. 8.6</b>	<b>To consider and approve the completion of the probation period of faculty member of the Institute</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 8.7</b>	<b>To report the resignation and relieving of employees of the Institute</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 8.8</b>	<b>To consider and approve the authorization of the Director, IIIT Lucknow for extension of the contract Period of Assistant Professor (on Contract)</b>
	<p>The BoG was apprised of the resolution No. 1.9.1 of the first meeting of BoG held on 16.11.2021 that As per the Annexure E, point number 4 of the Four tier flexible faculty structure communicated by MHRD Letter No. F.No. 27-11/2011 Ts.1 dt. 23.04.2014</p> <p><b><i>“Faculty, who are appointed on contractual basis, shall be for a fixed period not exceeding five years.”</i></b></p> <p>The members were informed that IIIT Lucknow has issued the offer letters to the candidates for 3 years contract in response to the approval of recommendations of Selection Committee by BoG (appointing authority of the Faculty).</p> <p>The BoG may consider the extension of contract by another 2 years in respect of those faculty members who complete their contract period satisfactorily. The BoG, in this regard, authorized the Director to extend the period of contract after evaluation of the performance of the respective faculty members. Further, BoG also authorized the Director for relieving of the faculty members as per the norms and reporting of the same in the next meeting of the BoG.</p>
<b>Item No. 8.9</b>	<b>To consider and approve the induction of Professor of Practice</b>
	The BoG was apprised that the Institute may be permitted to induct Professor of Practice. The advertisement published by IIT Delhi and the draft advertisement having the qualifications and monthly remuneration prepared by IIIT Lucknow were presented before the members. The BoG approved the draft advertisement and sanctioned five positions of Professor of Practice for IIIT Lucknow.
<b>Item No. 9</b>	<b>To consider and approve the MoU between the Institute and the Ministry of Education, India</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.
<b>Item No. 10</b>	<b>To ratify the Annual Report of the Institute for the Financial Year 2021- 2022.</b>
	The BoG considered and approved the same.



<b>Item No.11</b>	<b>Any other items</b>																																					
	<b>To consider and approve the rules for professional fee sharing for short term training and development programmes, assigned by the institute to the employees of the institute.</b>																																					
	It was informed that the rules for sharing the professional fee for short term training and development programmes, for such proposals, are not yet framed. In view of the same, the following rules for professional fee sharing, may be considered for such short term training and development programmes (proposals received by the institute and assigned to the employees of the institute as coordinator and co-coordinator). The policy of sharing the fee has been prepared as under:																																					
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S.No.</th> <th>Head</th> <th>Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Total fee received</td> <td>= A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Deduction for service tax and/or any other tax</td> <td>= B</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Amount to be spent (X)</td> <td>= A - B</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Distribution as given below</td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>a) Remuneration for secretarial assistance to concerned departments such as accounts, finance, purchase etc. (to be decided by Coordinator/Co-coordinators as per the involvement for actual number of days).</td> <td>@ Rs. 800 per day</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>b) Remuneration Coordinator/Co-coordinators</td> <td>Rs. 50,000 # (to be divided equally among all the Coordinator/Co-coordinators) # if the duration of the project is 2 or more months. ( for projects less than two months duration, the remuneration shall be on proportionate basis)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>c) Remuneration for Expert sessions/Lectures/Invited talks/training delivery</td> <td>@ Rs. 5000 per day + TA (Min 4 hours of Engagement)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>d) Assistance from Students</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>• Ph.D.</td> <td>@ Rs. 1000 per day + TA</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>• Masters</td> <td>@ Rs. 800 per day + TA</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>• Graduation</td> <td>@ Rs. 500 per day + TA</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		S.No.	Head	Amount	1	Total fee received	= A	2	Deduction for service tax and/or any other tax	= B	3	Amount to be spent (X)	= A - B	4	Distribution as given below	X		a) Remuneration for secretarial assistance to concerned departments such as accounts, finance, purchase etc. (to be decided by Coordinator/Co-coordinators as per the involvement for actual number of days).	@ Rs. 800 per day		b) Remuneration Coordinator/Co-coordinators	Rs. 50,000 # (to be divided equally among all the Coordinator/Co-coordinators) # if the duration of the project is 2 or more months. ( for projects less than two months duration, the remuneration shall be on proportionate basis)		c) Remuneration for Expert sessions/Lectures/Invited talks/training delivery	@ Rs. 5000 per day + TA (Min 4 hours of Engagement)		d) Assistance from Students			• Ph.D.	@ Rs. 1000 per day + TA		• Masters	@ Rs. 800 per day + TA		• Graduation	@ Rs. 500 per day + TA
S.No.	Head	Amount																																				
1	Total fee received	= A																																				
2	Deduction for service tax and/or any other tax	= B																																				
3	Amount to be spent (X)	= A - B																																				
4	Distribution as given below	X																																				
	a) Remuneration for secretarial assistance to concerned departments such as accounts, finance, purchase etc. (to be decided by Coordinator/Co-coordinators as per the involvement for actual number of days).	@ Rs. 800 per day																																				
	b) Remuneration Coordinator/Co-coordinators	Rs. 50,000 # (to be divided equally among all the Coordinator/Co-coordinators) # if the duration of the project is 2 or more months. ( for projects less than two months duration, the remuneration shall be on proportionate basis)																																				
	c) Remuneration for Expert sessions/Lectures/Invited talks/training delivery	@ Rs. 5000 per day + TA (Min 4 hours of Engagement)																																				
	d) Assistance from Students																																					
	• Ph.D.	@ Rs. 1000 per day + TA																																				
	• Masters	@ Rs. 800 per day + TA																																				
	• Graduation	@ Rs. 500 per day + TA																																				



	5	Case to Case expenditure such as training kit, software, licensed products for development and training, miscellaneous expenses etc. (to be decided by Coordinator/Co-coordinators).	As per requirement and available funds
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any unutilized amount may be considered under the head “income from other sources” and may be used by the institute accordingly.</li> <li>• The upper cap for the honorarium to the institute’s employee from the Institute projects, consultancy or from any other assignment will be 20% of the Gross Annual Salary of the concerned employee.</li> </ul>			

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

Non Member Secretary (Off.)

Director

Chairperson, BoG, IIIT Lucknow